

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,844	09/15/2000	Yasuyuki Susa	196824US0	2015
	7590 07/01/2002			
OBLON SPI	VAK MCCLELLAND	MAIER & NEUSTADT PC	EXAMI	NER
FOURTH FL		_	CORBIN, A	RTHUR L
	SON DAVIS HIGHWAY			
ARLINGTON	N, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	C
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2002	<i>+</i>
				1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	Lanuel	~ Coes 10 (165
	THE THE	~ COPO/P (1/(G)
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address—
riod for Reply		_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	E MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ys, a reply within the statutory default, expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the applicati	minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  on to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15-00	•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle</li> </ul>		
Disposition of Claims		
		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approve	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  d disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approve	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  d disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approve	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  d disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approve	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  d disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examirer if the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examirer.	is □ approvention is □ approv	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  d disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Control Contr	is □ approvention is □ approv	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  d disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examirer in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	is approve objected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  d disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approve objected to by the Examiner.  ority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  d disapproved.  ner  9 (a)-(d).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examirer in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	is approve objected to by the Examinater.  pority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 peen received.  peen received in Application	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  ed  disapproved. her  9 (a)-(d).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is approve objected to by the Exami ner.  ority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 neen received. neen received in Application nents have been receive	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  ed
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International Stage application from the Inte	is approve objected to by the Examinate.  Description of the examinate of	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  ed
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of t	is approve objected to by the Examinate.  Description of the examinate of	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  ed
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	is approve objected to by the Examinater.  Description and a second of the control of the contro	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  d disapproved.  et a disapproved.  on No  d 17.2(a))
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have but □ Copies of the certified copies	is approve objected to by the Examinater.  Description and a second of the control of the contro	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  ed
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	is approve objected to by the Examinater.  Deen received. Deen received in Application and Bureau (PCT Rule open No(s).	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  d disapproved.  et a disapproved.  on No  d 17.2(a))

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/662,844 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to a composition including transglutaminase, classified in class 426, subclass 63.
- Claims 8-18, drawn to a pickle solution, classified in class 426, subclass652.
- 2. III. Claims 19-22, drawn to a method of treating meat, classified in class 426, subclass 281.
- 3. Claim 7 link(s) inventions II and III. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 7. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Application/Control Number: 09/662,844 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

5. The composition in I. Does not include a protein, as in II.

- 6. Inventions I or II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product or composition as claimed can be used in a materially different process, e.g. a process of treating tofu, pudding or yogurt.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Application/Control Number: 09/662,844

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

3850. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 10 AM to 7:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Arthur Corbin/om

June 27, 2002

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER